

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/067,638	Applicant(s) COWSERT ET AL.	
	Examiner Marjorie A. Moran	Art Unit 1631	

All Participants:

(1) Marjorie A. Moran.

(2) Michael Schuster.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All pending

Claims discussed:

All pending, esp. claim 83

Prior art documents discussed:

Agrafiotis et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Exmr. Moran stated that as limitations directed to FIRST preparing a library and to synthesizing only a particular set of oligonucleotides are new issues, the after-final amendment would not be entered. She stated that the amendment did appear to overcome the prior art of Agrafiotis, but that she could not determine whether the claims would be allowable without further search and consideration. .